

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/014,687	12/11/2001	Anthony Earle	81444F-P	3189
7:	590 08/12/2003			
Milton S. Sales Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201			EXAMINER	
			NICOLAS, FREDERICK C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3754	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	2/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Frederick C. Nicolas 3754 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			A 11 11 11					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estrategic of Communication of the Communic			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Frederick C. Nicolas 3754 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of tilme may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the machine statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the machine statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. All reply received by the Official for the thir have months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 June 2003: 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18.20 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4.8.12.15.17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-35.79-11.13.14.16.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-35.79-11.13.14.16.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-35.79-11.13.14.16.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) The drawing(s) filed on 15/40.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15/40.20 and 21 is/are rejected or b) disapproved by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The orthor declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§			10/014,687	EARLE ET AL.				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Stendards of them ney the variable under the provisions of 3 (75R 1.13(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed address of the communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the macining date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the macining date of the communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the macining date of the communication of the period for reply is specified above, the macining date of the communication to the communication to the communication of the communica			Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after St X (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a tept by within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered through the communication. If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a tept by within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered through the communication. If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a tept by within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered through the communication. If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a tept by within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered through the communication. If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a tept by within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered through the communication. If the period for reply is specified above is less than three months after the mailing date of this communication to the consideration becomes an advanced to the communication. Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on ② 8 June 2003. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the men'ts is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-18,20 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,8,12,15,17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9-11,13,14,16,20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9-11,13,14,16,20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ecanations of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CRR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled alter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, in the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failur to reply whith the set or dended period for reply will, by status. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failur to reply whith the set or dended period for reply will, by status. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failur to reply whith the set or dended period for reply will, by status. If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 June 2003. Status In Sacret his application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18.20 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4.8.12.15.17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-3.5-7.9-11.13.14.16.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-3.5-7.9-11.13.14.16.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-3.5-7.9-11.13.14.16.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 10) The proposed drawing correction filed on is accepted or by objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The secification is objected to by the								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18,20 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4.8.12,15,17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	<u>une 2003</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18,20 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4.8.12,15,17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3.5-7,9-11,13,14,16,20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
4) Claim(s) 1-18,20 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4.8.12,15,17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9-11,13,14,16,20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4.8.12.15.17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-3.5-7.9-11.13.14,16.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) are subjected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subjected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			application					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9-11,13,14,16,20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	, —							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3.5-7.9-11.13.14.16.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☒ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	• • •	oloolon roquiloniona.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
 a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)	Attachmen	t(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's argument filed on 6/26/2003 including the amendment to claim 1 have been considered and found to be persuasive. Therefore, the restriction requirement of group I, claims 1-9 have been withdrawn. Further, claims 4 and 8 are withdrawn from consideration and added to the non-elected claims, because the claimed subject matter in claim 4, "wherein the displacement is caused by a screwthreaded member" and the claimed subject matter in claim 8, "wherein the container is punctured as it is fitted onto the processing apparatus" are directed toward a non-elected species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,6-7,10,13 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor et al. 0354663 A2.

Taylor et al. discloses a delivery unit for supplying low viscosity processing solution to a processing apparatus as seen in Figure 1, which comprises a storage container 1 having a nozzle 5, a piston 4, and means for activating the piston such that a fixed amount of solution is delivered out of the container via the nozzle each time the piston is activated (col. 2, II. 17-38 and col. 6, II. 49-56).

Art Unit: 3754

The device shown by Taylor will perform the method recited in claims 1,6-7 during normal operational use of the device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor et al. 0354663 A2 in view of Hoffmann et al. 4,522,316.

Taylor et al. has all the features of the claimed invention except that a plastic seal is provided behind the piston portion. Hoffmann et al. teaches the use of a plastic seal 6 behind a piston 2 of a storage container 1.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the plastic seal of Hoffmann et al. onto the invention of Taylor et al. as such, in order to prevent dirt from entering behind the piston, as taught by Hoffmann et al. (col. 4, II. 40-42).

6. Claims 2-3,5,9,14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor et al. 0354663 A2 in view of Peng 5,615,807.

Taylor et al. has all the features of the claimed invention except that the activation means comprises a rod for pushing the piston. Peng teaches the use an activation means for a storage container 14 (col. 4, II. 28-57), where the activation

Application/Control Number: 10/014,687 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

means comprises a rod 16 for pushing a piston, the rod being in connection with a clutch plate 26 activated by a cam 36.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the means for activating the piston of Taylor et al. with Peng's means for activating the piston as such, in order to provide a smooth continuous feeding during long runs while permitting the feeding to be cleanly and precisely terminated without spurious feeding, as taught by Peng (col. 4, II. 28-31).

7. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor et al. 0354663 A2 in view of Koehler et al. 5,538,161.

Taylor et al. has all the features of the claimed invention except that the unit is provided with identification means. Koehler et al. teaches a delivery unit 300 having a container 314, where the delivery unit is provided with identification means 390 to identify product within the container (col. 5, II. 1-3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Koehler et al. onto the invention of Taylor et al. as such, in order to identify the product of the container, as taught by Koehler et al. (col. 5, II. 1-2).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 6/26/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the reference of Taylor does not suggest that the additive should be metered, it is deemed that Taylor describes such limitation, since Taylor discloses that "The very positive introduction of additive is needed for an efficient

Art Unit: 3754

delivery of measured or metered amounts of additive", see column 2, lines 17-38. Any remaining arguments have been fully addressed in the above rejection.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Carlson 4,543,148 discloses other type of delivery unit.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in 10. this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (703)-305-6385. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,687

Art Unit: 3754

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mancene L. Gene can be reached on (703)-308-2696. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9302 for regular communication and (703)-872-9303 for After Final communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0861.

FN

August 6, 2003

Gene Mancene

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700